The International Bar Association Company Director Checklist – Republic of Colombia

Contact: Natalia Mejía – Julián Aguirre Posse Herrera Ruiz. (www.phrlegal.com) Natalia.mejia@phrlegal.com

Item	Number	Check	Item	Number	Check
Before appointment			Potential liability	16	
Items to understand	1		Duration of duties	17	
People to meet with	2				
Documents to review	3		Special circumstances		
Ongoing duties			Bankruptcy	18	
Points for attention	4		Takeover bids	19	
Legal status of directors	5		Market abuse/insider dealing	20	
Parties to which duties are owed	6				
Powers of directors	7		Defences		
Duty of loyalty	8		Good corporate governance	21	
Duty of care	9		Minutes of board meetings	22	
Duty to have and maintain skills	10		Discharge and indemnification	23	
Additional duties (confidentiality, etc.)	11		Insurance	24	
Delegation of powers/authority	12		Resignation	25	
Conflicts of interest (inc. intragroup dealings)	13		Restructuring of assets	26	
Compliance with statutory obligations	14		ESG and D&I policies, metrics	27	
Disclosure obligations	15				

Introduction

This checklist is intended to serve as a practical guide in the Republic of Colombia to the main duties and obligations for directors of corporations that are securities issuers registered before the Financial Superintendence of Colombia (FSC) or private companies arising from the specific relevant jurisdiction legislation sources, namely:

- Commercial Code (Decree 410 of 1970).
- Law 222 of 1995.
- Law 964 of 2005.

If any specifics of listed public corporations are relevant, see the third column of this checklist below.

Disclaimer

This checklist is informational in character only and is not intended to be comprehensive in all respects or to serve as a substitute for professional advice. In all cases, however, specific legal advice should be sought. This checklist was last updated on March 31st^h, 2022.

	DUTIES AND	OBLIGATIONS OF THE DIRECTORS	
	Action/issue	Specifics of listed public companies (if relevant)	Comments/notes
		Before appointment	
1. Items to understand	 The type of corporation in which you are going to serve as director, this is, whether it is a sociedad anónima ("S.A.") o a sociedad por acciones simplificada ("S.A.S"). Whether the corporation is a state enterprise or a non state enterprise. Whether the corporation is a securities issuer or not. The structure that the board has, this is, whether the board has two or one tear architecture. In Colombia, the most common approach is the one tier board, where the directors assume both strategic and oversight attributions and the executives and legal representatives are not members of the board of directors. Whether the corporation has a controller shareholder or not. Whether you have been appointed as and independent director or as a director representing the interest of controlling shareholders. In Colombia the usual corporate arrangement is the close held corporation. Disperse capital corporation are an exception in practice. Whether you meet the criteria or requisites provided on the regulation, the bylaws and the corporate governance 		Prerequisites to accepting an appointment should be: that you have certainty that no fellow administrators or company shareholders are risks for security or money laundering activities; that you have certainty that there are no prohibited relationships between yourself and the company's other directors; that if you are expected to serve as an independent director you are in fact independent, meaning that you are not (a) an employee or contractor with the company, (b) a controlling shareholder, or an employee or director of such controlling shareholder or any of its affiliates, including its parent company, (c) associated with an entity that maintains relevant economic links to the company; (d) receiving or entitled to receive remuneration from any of the above. If that you have not exceeded the prohibited number of board memberships per individual (5); and that adequate corporate governance procedures are in place to ensure you can perform and are protected and that in accordance with the Código País reporting requirements to the Superfinanciera the company has a solid history of compliance or reporting variations.

agreements to be appointed and act as director.	
• In case you have been appointed to be director on a <i>S.A</i> you should verify:	
* Not been appointed as board member in more that 5 board of directors of S.A.	
* Whether your appointment forms within the board a decision majority with other directors who are linked to each other by marriage or by other family relationships. The foregoing, unless the corporation is a family controlled corporation.	
• Whether you have been appointed as a director on the board of directors of another corporation that competes in the same relevant market with the corporation in which you will be appointed.	
• The frequency in which the board hold its meetings and the time required from the director to perform their duties regarding the corporation.	
• The authority that exerts the surveillance and regulation over the corporation.	
 • Thoroughly review the list of shareholders and members of the board of directors of the corporation, the legal representative and the corporation's	

	statutory auditor (<i>revisor fiscal</i>).
	• The activities of the corporation, its customers and suppliers, its sources and uses of cash flows and areas of operation inside and outside Colombia.
	• Whether the corporation constitutes a going concern and whether the corporation is in insolvency zone or has applied for an insolvency procedure.
	• The requirements arising to the directors and the board from risk management systems that the corporation has in place (e.g. antimony laundering system).
2. People to meet with	The legal representative of the corporation.
	• The statutory auditor (<i>revisor fiscal</i>) of the corporation.
	• The compliance officer of the corporation
	The remaining directors.
3. Documents to review	Corporation bylaws.
	• Corporate governance code.
	• Latest financial statements with their complementary notes.

			-
	• The last statutory auditor's report.		
	• The last managers report.		
	• The latest material information released to markets (in case the corporation is a security issuer).		
	• The latest minute of the General Shareholders' meeting		
	• The latest minute of the board of directors' meeting.		
	• News or public information regarding the corporation and its operations.		
		Ongoing duties	
4. Points for attention	 The information that has been provided to you by the company, and the quality of such information; The information that you have obtained from independent sources, and how it compares with the information provided by the company; Review disclosures of OFAC and similar watch lists and be alert 		
	to red flags, particularly foreign exchange transactions		
	• Inadequate internal controls – for example, does the board function effectively, are reporting procedures adequate, are each of the directors and the company's shareholders		

	sufficiently informed about the company's operations and financial status, and are concerns dealt with in a timely and effective manner?	
	• Are directors designated as "independent" truly independent?	
	• Are all required committees formed and operational, such as the audit committee? Do they have the requisite component of independent directors?	
	• Have there been any actions brought to the board for approval regarding potentially prohibited transactions between a director and the company?	
	• Are there blanket authorization to conduct operation intercompany or with affiliates? Which terms are authorised for such operations?	
	 How the company conducts its relationships with competitors? 	
5. Legal status of directors	Directors are deemed "corporate administrators" and, as such, will be jointly and severally liable for damages caused by fraud or negligence to the company, the partners or third parties. Those directors who have not been aware of the action or omission or have voted against it will not be subject to said responsibility, as long as they do not execute it. In cases	
	of non-compliance or excess of their functions, violation of the law or the bylaws, the fault of the administrator will	

	be presumed. In the same way, fault will	
	be presumed when the administrators	
	have proposed or executed the decision	
	on the distribution of profits in	
	contravention of the provisions of article	
	151 of the Commercial Code and other	
	regulations on the matter. In these cases,	
	the administrator will be responsible for	
	the sums not distributed or distributed in	
	excess and for the damages that may	
	arise. The clauses of the bylaws aiming to	
	absolve the administrators to limit their	
	liability will be considered without effect.	
6. Parties to which duties		
are owed	Directors must act in good faith,	
	with loyalty and with the due diligence of a	
	good businessman. Their actions will be	
	carried out in the interest of the company,	
	taking into account the interests of their	
	associates. In carrying out their duties,	
	directors must:	
	Make efforts leading to	
	the proper development of the corporate	
	purpose.	
	Ensure strict compliance	
	with legal or bylaws provisions.	
	Ensure that the proper	
	performance of the functions entrusted to	
	the statutory auditor is allowed.	
	,	
	Save and protect the	
	commercial and industrial secret or	
	confidential information of the corporation.	
	Bofroin from improperty	
	Refrain from improperly	
	using privileged information.	
	Give equitable treatment	

to all shareholders and respect the exercise of the right of inspection of all of them. • Refrain from participating, in personal or third-party interests, directly or through an intermediary, in activities that imply competition with the corporation or in acts with respect to which there is a conflict of interest, unless expressly authorized by the shareholders. • In these cases, the administrator will provide the corresponding corporate body with all the information that is relevant for making the decision. The vote of the administrator, if he is a shareholder, must be excluded from the respective decision. In any case, the authorization of the shareholders' meeting may only be granted when the act does not harm the interests of the
 Refrain from participating, in personal or third-party interests, directly or through an intermediary, in activities that imply competition with the corporation or in acts with respect to which there is a conflict of interest, unless expressly authorized by the shareholders. In these cases, the administrator will provide the corresponding corporate body with all the information that is relevant for making the decision. The vote of the administrator, if he is a shareholder, must be excluded from the respective decision. In any case, the authorization of the shareholders' meeting may only be granted when the
 Refrain from participating, in personal or third-party interests, directly or through an intermediary, in activities that imply competition with the corporation or in acts with respect to which there is a conflict of interest, unless expressly authorized by the shareholders. In these cases, the administrator will provide the corresponding corporate body with all the information that is relevant for making the decision. The vote of the administrator, if he is a shareholder, must be excluded from the respective decision. In any case, the authorization of the shareholders' meeting may only be granted when the
 in personal or third-party interests, directly or through an intermediary, in activities that imply competition with the corporation or in acts with respect to which there is a conflict of interest, unless expressly authorized by the shareholders. In these cases, the administrator will provide the corresponding corporate body with all the information that is relevant for making the decision. The vote of the administrator, if he is a shareholders' meeting may only be granted when the
 in personal or third-party interests, directly or through an intermediary, in activities that imply competition with the corporation or in acts with respect to which there is a conflict of interest, unless expressly authorized by the shareholders. In these cases, the administrator will provide the corresponding corporate body with all the information that is relevant for making the decision. The vote of the administrator, if he is a shareholders' meeting may only be granted when the
or through an intermediary, in activities that imply competition with the corporation or in acts with respect to which there is a conflict of interest, unless expressly authorized by the shareholders. In these cases, the administrator will provide the corresponding corporate body with all the information that is relevant for making the decision. The vote of the administrator, if he is a shareholder, must be excluded from the respective decision. In any case, the authorization of the shareholders' meeting may only be granted when the
 that imply competition with the corporation or in acts with respect to which there is a conflict of interest, unless expressly authorized by the shareholders. In these cases, the administrator will provide the corresponding corporate body with all the information that is relevant for making the decision. The vote of the administrator, if he is a shareholder, must be excluded from the respective decision. In any case, the authorization of the shareholders' meeting may only be granted when the
 or in acts with respect to which there is a conflict of interest, unless expressly authorized by the shareholders. In these cases, the administrator will provide the corresponding corporate body with all the information that is relevant for making the decision. The vote of the administrator, if he is a shareholder, must be excluded from the respective decision. In any case, the authorization of the shareholders' meeting may only be granted when the
 conflict of interest, unless expressly authorized by the shareholders. In these cases, the administrator will provide the corresponding corporate body with all the information that is relevant for making the decision. The vote of the administrator, if he is a shareholder, must be excluded from the respective decision. In any case, the authorization of the shareholders' meeting may only be granted when the
 authorized by the shareholders. In these cases, the administrator will provide the corresponding corporate body with all the information that is relevant for making the decision. The vote of the administrator, if he is a shareholder, must be excluded from the respective decision. In any case, the authorization of the shareholders' meeting may only be granted when the
 In these cases, the administrator will provide the corresponding corporate body with all the information that is relevant for making the decision. The vote of the administrator, if he is a shareholder, must be excluded from the respective decision. In any case, the authorization of the shareholders' meeting may only be granted when the
administrator will provide the corresponding corporate body with all the information that is relevant for making the decision. The vote of the administrator, if he is a shareholder, must be excluded from the respective decision. In any case, the authorization of the shareholders' meeting may only be granted when the
administrator will provide the corresponding corporate body with all the information that is relevant for making the decision. The vote of the administrator, if he is a shareholder, must be excluded from the respective decision. In any case, the authorization of the shareholders' meeting may only be granted when the
corresponding corporate body with all the information that is relevant for making the decision. The vote of the administrator, if he is a shareholder, must be excluded from the respective decision. In any case, the authorization of the shareholders' meeting may only be granted when the
information that is relevant for making the decision. The vote of the administrator, if he is a shareholder, must be excluded from the respective decision. In any case, the authorization of the shareholders' meeting may only be granted when the
decision. The vote of the administrator, if he is a shareholder, must be excluded from the respective decision. In any case, the authorization of the shareholders' meeting may only be granted when the
he is a shareholder, must be excluded from the respective decision. In any case, the authorization of the shareholders' meeting may only be granted when the
from the respective decision. In any case, the authorization of the shareholders' meeting may only be granted when the
the authorization of the shareholders' meeting may only be granted when the
meeting may only be granted when the
corporation.
7. Powers of the board of Regarding S.A., the Board of Directors has
directors powers to decide and to order the
performance of any act or contract included
within the corporate purpose and to make
the necessary determinations in order for the
company to fulfill its purposes.
In the case of the SAS, the powers of the
Board of Directors will be those explicitly
provided for in the bylaws.
8. Duty of loyalty As a general rule, Colombia imposes to the
board of directors the duty of loyalty. This
duty has been understood by the regulators
and the judiciary as the straight and positive
act that allows the administrator to fully and
satisfactorily carry out the corporate purpose

	of the company, avoiding that in situations in	
	which there is a conflict of interest, said	
	administrator unfairly benefits at the expense	
	of the company or its partners In this	
	regard, the actions of the administrators must	
	be carried out in the interest of the company,	
	and of the associates, so that in cases where	
	the interests of the associates deviate from	
	the goals of company, the interests of the	
	latter must prevail.	
9. Duty of care	The Colombian law regulates the duty of care	
	using the reference to the diligence of the	
	good businessman. This concept is related to	
	the fact that the actions of the administrators	
	must be executed with the diligence that a	
	professional or a merchant would have on	
	their own affairs, so that their activity must	
	always be timely and careful, verifying that it	
	is in accordance with the law and the bylaws.	
	This duty supposes a greater effort and a	
	higher demand for the administrators in the	
	conduction of the company. It also implies	
	duties such as (i) being sufficiently informed	
	before making decisions, for which the	
	administrator must seek advice and carry out	
	the necessary inquiries and discuss his	
	decisions especially in the collegiate	
	administration bodies; and (ii) course, the	
	duty of surveillance regarding the	
	development and fulfillment of the guidelines	
	and decisions adopted.	
10. Duty to have and	There is not an specific duty aiming to have	
maintain skills	and maintain skills. However, implied in the	
	duty of care, understood as the diligence of a	
	good business man, is the necessity of being	
	able to process all the information submitted	
	to the board of Directors regarding	
	company's operations and to ponder the	
	interests involved on each particular decision	

11. Additional duties (confidentiality, etc.) 12. Delegation of powers/authority	while fulfilling the duties discussed on previous sections.Please see section 6.Delegations of powers or authority are allowed in the terms provided on the bylaws.	
13. Conflicts of interest (inc. intragroup dealings)	The current regulation indicates that there is a conflict of interest when the simultaneous satisfaction of two interests is not possible, namely: the one based on the head of the administrator and the company, either because the interest is of the first or of a third party. However, judicial rulings have expanded this definition to adopt a risk approach in which a conflict of interest is considered to exist in all those cases in which the administrator has an interest that may cloud his objective judgment in the course of a given operation, as well as when presented in circumstances that pose a real risk that the manager's judgment will be compromised. The conflict of interest regulation shall be applied on a case by case basis and by ponder the principles provided in the regulation, judicial precedents have determined that, in the following cases, there is a situation of conflict of interest:	
	(i) When a relative of the administrator contracts with the company or has an economic interest in the operation; (ii) When the administrator enters into operations with natural or legal persons with whom he has a dependency relationship (including controlling shareholders); (iii) When the	

administrator sues the company, even if said	
demand is attended by the alternate legal	
representative; (iv) When the administrator	
celebrates labor settlements in his favor; (v)	
When the administrator, as legal	
representative, transfers securities of the	
company in his favor; (vi) When the directors	
approve the determination of the adjustment	
of the rental fee for warehouses owned by	
said administrators; (vii) When the directors	
approve their fees if said power has not been	
expressly delegated to them in the statutes.	
In case of a potential conflict of interest, the	
administrator must study each situation in	
order to determine if he may incur or is	
developing acts that imply conflict of interest,	
and if so, he must refrain from acting and if	
he is acting, he must cease such activities.	
The doubt regarding the existence of conflict	
of interest does not exempt the administrator	
from the obligation to refrain from	
participating in the respective activities.	
It should be noted that the prohibition for	
administrators refers to participation in acts	
that imply a conflict of interest or	
competition with the company. In this order	
of ideas, when the administrator finds himself	
in a conflict situation, being a member of a	
collegiate body —as would be the case of the	
board of directors— to legitimize his action is	
not enough refrain from intervening in	
decisions, since the restriction is intended to	
prevent participation in acts in respect of	
which there is a situation of conflict, unless	
expressly authorized by the highest corporate	
body, but not its intervention in the decision.	

			1
	In the aforementioned events, the administrator shall inform the general shareholders' meeting about this circumstance, and must also provide all the information that is relevant for it to adopt the decision the shareholders deems pertinent.		
	When adopting the decision, the highest corporate body cannot lose sight of the fact that the welfare of society is the main objective of its work and of its power, which is why the authorization only may be granted when the act under scrutiny does not harm the interests of the company.		
	Therefore, in granting the authorization in required to evaluate, among others, the economic factors, the position of the company in the market and the consequences of the act on the social businesses.		
14. Compliance with statutory obligations	Please see section 6.		
15. Disclosure obligations of listed companies			
16. Potential liability	Please see section 5.		
17. Duration of duties			
		Special circumstances	
18. Bankruptcy			

19. Takeover bids			
20. Market abuse/insider			
dealing			
Defences			
21. Good corporate			
governance			
22. Minutes of board			
meetings and publication requirements			
23. Discharge and			
Indemnification			
24.Insurance			
25. Resignation			
26. Restructuring of			
assets			
27. ESG and D&I policies,			
metrics, reports			