

RESTRICTIVE AGREEMENTS

COMPETION LAW FUNDAMENTALS





- Definitions & Types of Restrictive
 Agreements
- The Economics of Restrictive
 Agreements
- Nigerian Law: Part VIII FCCPA ss 59-69; ss107-109; Restrictive Agreement and Trade Practices Regulations (RATPR)2022

Definitions



- Restrictive Agreements are not defined in the FCCPA; but s 167(1) defines both "Agreement":
- "includes a contract, arrangement, understanding, written or oral, and a concerted practice"; AND

Restrictive Practice":

- "practices in restraint of trade or which otherwise hinder competition".
- THEREFORE, a working definition of RESTRICTIVE AGREEMENTS is ARRANGEMENTS AND PRACTICES THAT RESTRAIN TRADE OR HINDER COMPETITION.



Types of Restrictive Agreements

 Horizontal Restraints vs. Vertical Restraints Collusive Effects vs.
 Exclusionary Effects

• Intraband Restraints vs Interband Restraints



Types of Restrictive Agreements

Horizontal Restraints

- Cartels
- Information Sharing Agreements
- Research & Development Agreements
- Joint Venture Agreements

Vertical Restraints

- Distribution Agreements
- Resale Price Maintenance (RPM)
- Exclusive Selling/Buying
- Franchising
- Tying
- Technology Licensing

The Economics of Restrictive Agreements

- Cournot Oligopoly
- Cournot Complements
- Spengler
- The Chicago School



Nigerian Law: Part VIII FCCPA ss59-69; ss107-109; RATPR 2022

Framework

- General Prohibitions
- Specific Prohibitions
- Exceptions/Defences
- Authorizations/Exemptions
- Right of Action/Remedy
- Administrative Sanctions
- Criminal Sanctions
- Standard of Proof/Burden of Proof
- Evidence



- Section 59(1):
 - "Agreement"
 - "Undertakings or associations of undertakings"
 - "Purpose of actual or likely effect"
 - "Preventing, restricting or distorting competition"
 - "Unlawful"
 - "Subject to s 61" (properly s60)
 - "Void and of no legal effect"



- Section 59(2): Examples of prohibited agreements and practices
 - Price fixing
 - Output fixing
 - Dividing markets
 - Collusive tendering
 - Unnecessary tying



- Assessment under section 59(1):
 - Purpose-based Restriction of Competition
 - Reg.3(4) of RATPR 2022 lists purpose-based agreements to include price fixing, customer allocation, output limitation and collusive tendering
 - Basis for Assessment
 - Content of agreement and its objective aims
 - Legal and economic context of agreement
 - Actual conduct of the parties in the market



- Assessment under section 59(1):
 - Effects-based Restriction of Competition
 - Reg. 6 of RATPR 2022 provides conditions for an effects-based restriction of competition to exist –
 - Must have, or be likely to have an appreciable adverse impact on at least one parameter of of competition
 - Appreciably reduces competition by reducing decision-making independence
 - Ability to profitably affect a parameter of competition within the relevant market
 - Basis for Assessment
 - Nature and content of agreement
 - Degree of market power
 - Extent to which agreement contributes to creation, maintenance or strengthening of market power or allows the parties to exploit such power
 - Relevant market structure

- Assessment under section 59(1):
 - Effects-based Restriction on Competition
 - Importance of the Counterfactual
 - Other Relevant Factors For Competitive Assessment
 - Market Shares of the Parties
 - Closeness of competition between the Parties
 - Possibility of Switching Suppliers
 - Is a Party a Maverick?
 - Nameplate capacity of the market
 - Market Definition –FCCPC Market Definition Notice
 - Market Share Thresholds/Safe Harbours

Specific Prohibitions

- Section 61: Agreements containing exclusionary provisions Requesting refusals to deal
- Section 62: Agreements to penalize independent pricing by withholding supplies or applying discriminatory terms
- Section 63: Minimum RPM



Exception/Defence

- Section 60:
 - Improvement of production or distribution; or the promotion of technical or economic progress
 - Indispensability to attainment of objectives
 - No possibility of eliminating competition in a substantial part of the market,
 - provided the agreement has been authorized by the FCCPC
 - Factors are cumulative and not in the alternative
 - Substantive and procedural issues in assessing exception/defence are contained in Regs. 10-18 of RATPR 2022

Exception/Defence

- Section 64(3):
 - Grants; and assignments of patent licences containing provisions regulating price at which output by licensee may be sold.
- Section 68(1):
 - Activities of employees for the reasonable protection of employees
 - Collective bargaining
 - Activities for enforcement of standards by professional associations
 - Non-compete and other conduct of partnership provisions between natural persons
 - Non-compete provisions not exceeding 2 years post-termination in a contract of service
 - Provisions to protect goodwill in share and business sales transactions
 - Acts done to give effect to permitted exceptions in section 68(1)



Authorizations/Exemptions

- Section 60:
 - Vests power to authorize agreements and avoid application of section 59(1).
- Unlike merger approval under section 93(1), section 60 does not mandate undertakings to seek FCCPC authorization for restrictive agreements cf. original EU provisions and practice.
- Authorizations may be ex ante or ex post voluntarily seeking authorization can mitigate risk of breach of section 59(1) and an agreement being found to be void.
- Individual vs. Block Exemptions Reg. 10, RATPR 2022

그녀들 사 무무리 사 모나면 사 모나면 사 모나면 사 모나면 사 모나면

Right of Action/Remedy

- No direct right of action for private complainant is there a right of action/remedy in a follow-on action cf. US practice
- Private complaint may be brought only by a person who has suffered damage or loss from a restrictive agreement
- FCCPC has a right to enforcement on behalf of the public. Not hamstrung by having to show actual damage or loss
- FCCPC may issue cease and desist orders, impose penalties, secure convictions and fines, etc



Administrative Sanctions



- Section 18(1)(h):
- FCCPC(Administrative Penalties) Regulations 2020, Sched. 1

- Administrative Sanctions are through essentially an internal process subject to review by or appeal to the Tribunal; and thereafter, appeal to the Court of Appeal
- Financial Penalties as calculated under the FCCPC (Administrative Penalties) Regulations 2020

Criminal Sanctions



- Section 69:
- Section 107:
- Section 108:
- Section 109:

- Offences may be prosecuted directly by FCCPC in the Federal High Court or indirectly by fiat to an external prosecutor
- Dependent on FCCPC
 assessment of actus reus
 and mens rea
- Punishment consists of fines and imprisonment
- Typically for "hardcore" offences

Standard of Proof/Burden of Proof



Administrative Process

- Standard of Proof is "balance of probabilities"
- Burden of Proof generally lies on FCCPC, but in instances of "purpose", a presumption of anticompetitiveness may be said to operate

Criminal Process

- Standard of Proof is as usual, "beyond reasonable doubt"
- Burden of Proof lies on FCCPC



Evidence



- Leniency Programme FCCPC Leniency Rules 2022
 - Immunity from penalty from first applicant
 - Reductions for second and third applicants
 - Dependent on full cooperation and quality of information provided
 - Very useful in "hardcore" where activities are surreptitious and detection is difficult

雅令托令菲令托令菲令托令菲令托令菲

Questions/Discussions

Thank You



Contact Us

16D Akin Olugbade Street, Victoria Island, Lagos

Info@sskhon.com

www.sskohn.com

